

Mid-cycle Report Decisions

In order to maintain CACREP-accredited status, halfway through the accreditation cycle programs must inform CACREP, through a Mid-Cycle report, of any program-related changes that have occurred since the most recent full accreditation review and demonstrate continued compliance with the CACREP Standards. The report is reviewed by the CACREP Board to ascertain if a program is indeed maintaining compliance with the Standards, and a decision is made on the report.

The categories of Mid-cycle Report decisions are:

1. Accept the report. The information provided indicates compliance with the Standards and provides good supporting evidence for each area of the report. The CACREP Board may add comments for consideration by the program.
2. Accept the report pending submission of materials. The information provided indicates compliance with the Standards but requires additional evidence/materials for clarity.
3. Table the report for additional information. The information provided is insufficient, conflicting, or unclear as to whether the program is in compliance with the Standards, therefore additional evidence/materials are required in order for the CACREP Board to make a decision on the report.
4. Deny the report. The information provided indicates non-compliance with the Standards or raises concerns about interference with or compromise of the delivery or integrity of the program. This is not a denial of continued accreditation; however, it does indicate a serious issue that the institution must respond to with some urgency.

Following each Board meeting, Board action on every type of report reviewed is communicated to programs in a letter using the language of the categories of decisions, as appropriate, rendered by the Board. These letters typically provide direction for any follow-up required as it relates to each decision respectively.